## Research Digest

## Shared Prosperity and the Mitigation of Poverty

The World Bank Group has set two global goals for 2030. What is their rationale—and what are their implications for policy making?

he World Bank Group recently adopted the twin goals of ending extreme poverty in the world by 2030 and promoting shared prosperity in every society. The first goal is aimed at reducing the number of people in extreme poverty—defined as those living on less than \$1.25 a day—to less than 3 percent of the world's population. The second focuses on raising the prosperity of the poorest 40 percent of the population of every nation. World Bank Chief Economist Kaushik Basu, in a recent paper, examines the rationale behind these goals and their implications for actual policy making.

Basu analyzes past poverty trends and revisits the long-standing debate over which is more effective in improving people's livelihoods-economic growth that serves as a rising tide to lift all boats, or direct welfare interventions that are targeted to the poor. Within this debate, experts argue about the insights provided by macroeconomic analysis and the use of modeling to understand broad development trends—as compared with those produced by randomized control trials to gauge the efficacy of everything from conditional cash transfer schemes to deworming efforts.

Basu's paper also has a subtext. Over the years economics has vastly improved in the use of statistics and facts. Basu argues that where the economic policy debate has fallen short is in the employment of reasoning and analytics.

Over the past three decades the global poverty rate has declined sharply, from just below 50 percent in 1980 to 17.7 percent in 2010. If all countries continue to grow at the same rates as they did over the past 20 years, with no change in income distribution, the global poverty rate will fall to 7.7 percent by 2030. If they grow faster, at the average rates recorded in the 2000s, the poverty rate will fall to 5.5 percent. These numbers suggest that growth alone is unlikely to get us to the 3 percent target.

Some fear that a single global target of 3 percent could lead to attention being directed to the world's most populous countries, where large numbers of poor people live. Basu explains that while the Bank is maintaining one target for the world, it is at the same time deliberately trying to ensure that no country is left behind. This matters tremendously, since it is in small and fragile states that the risk of leaving chronically poor people in dire conditions is a real and growing concern. Indeed, if all countries grow at the rates they achieved during the first decade of this century and income distribution stays steady, 17 countries will have poverty rates of more than 30 percent in 2030. Even with higher growth rates, such countries as Burundi, the Democratic Republic of Congo, and Haiti will still have poverty rates exceeding 30 percent.

Some are concerned about the shared prosperity goal, arguing that

(continued on page 8)

## IN THIS ISSUE

Shared Prosperity and the Mitigation of Poverty ... page

Which is more effective in reducing poverty growth or direct welfare interventions? A new paper revisits the long-standing debate

The Simple Algebra of the Shared Prosperity Indicator ... page 2

Basic algebra adds another perspective in the discussion on how to promote shared prosperity

The Global Income Distribution: 1988–2008 ... page 3

A new database shows that global inequality remains high—and that inequality within countries is increasing

Narrowing the Gap in India ... page 4

A comprehensive analysis of poverty in India refutes the claim that reforms and growth have failed to help the socially disadvantaged

Is Workfare Really Cost-Effective? ... page 5
Even if workfare is extremely well targeted,
this matters little if the net gains to
participants are small

Growth Still Is Good for the Poor ... page 6

Does the recent historical record offer
guidance on which macroeconomic policies
best support shared prosperity?

What Is the Effect of Growth on the Distribution of Opportunities? ... page 7

An opportunity-sensitive approach to analyzing growth can help show whether some groups are being systematically excluded